

In-Medium formation of J/ψ as a probe of charm quark thermalization

R. L. Thews

Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA

Abstract. Charmonium formation via charm quark in-medium recombination in heavy ion interactions at collider energies has the potential to probe some properties of the medium by utilizing the sensitivity of the recombination process to the momentum distribution of the quarks. We have examined the transverse momentum spectra of J/ψ , characterized by $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$, which result from the formation process in which the charm quark distributions are unchanged from their initial production in a pQCD process. This is contrasted with the case in which the charm quarks have completely come into thermal equilibrium with an expanding medium whose properties are determined by the spectra of produced light hadrons. We find that the resulting $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ of the formed J/ψ provide a distinct signature of the underlying charm quark spectra, and that signature is essentially independent of the detailed dynamics of the in-medium formation reaction. In addition, both of these signatures are sufficiently separated from the case in which no in-medium formation takes place. Finally, utilizing a model for the fraction of J/ψ which originate from in-medium formation, we predict the centrality behavior of these signatures.

The role of J/ψ produced in high energy heavy ion collisions as a signature for color deconfinement [1] has evolved in recent years with the realization that at collider energies an additional formation mechanism may become significant [2, 3]. This depends on the initial production of multiple $c\bar{c}$ pairs in sufficient numbers. Initial estimates [4] from extrapolation of fixed-target data put this number at about 10 for central Au-Au collisions at RHIC. Subsequently, measurements by the PHENIX and STAR experiments indicate even higher numbers, between 20 [5] and 40 [6], respectively. The in-medium formation picture we consider here uses competing formation and dissociation reactions in a Boltzmann equation to calculate the final J/ψ population. The absolute value of this formation was found to be very sensitive to the underlying charm quark momentum distributions [7]. In addition, there is significant dependence on details of the size and expansion profile of the deconfinement region, for which various model parameters must be introduced. The initial PHENIX data [8] suffered from low statistics, and was compatible with a fairly large region of model parameter space [9].

Recent work in this area concentrated on finding a signature for in-medium J/ψ formation which is independent of the detailed dynamics and magnitude of the formation. We found that the p_T spectrum of the formed J/ψ may provide such a signature [10]. Our first calculations of in-medium formation used initial charm quark momentum distributions from NLO pQCD amplitudes to generate a sample of $c\bar{c}$ pairs, supplemented by an initial-state transverse momentum kick to simulate confinement and nuclear ef-

fects. In the absence of in-medium formation, p_T of the J/ψ follows from that of the initially-produced individual $c\bar{c}$ pairs, but one must use a model for the magnitude of the hadronization process. For the *normalized* p_T spectrum, we start with that of the pair spectrum. In the evolution of the interacting system size from pp to pA to AA collisions, the p_T will be in general increased due to initial-state effects of interaction of constituents in the nuclei. One can express this effect as

$$\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pA} - \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp} = \lambda^2 [\bar{n}_A - 1], \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{n}_A$ is the impact-averaged number of inelastic interactions of the projectile in nucleus A, and λ^2 is the square of the transverse momentum transfer per collision. For a nucleus-nucleus collision, the corresponding relation is

$$\langle p_T^2 \rangle_{AB} - \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp} = \lambda^2 [\bar{n}_A + \bar{n}_B - 2]. \quad (2)$$

The PHENIX measurements of J/ψ p_T spectra in pp and minimum-bias d-Au interactions [11, 12] allow us to determine the amount of initial state k_T needed to supplement our collinear pQCD events. One can then extrapolate to Au-Au and predict the spectrum of J/ψ which are produced from hadronization of the initial "diagonal" $c\bar{c}$ pairs, again for minimum bias interactions. (We use diagonal to distinguish these pairs from the "off-diagonal" combinations which contribute to in-medium J/ψ formation.) One finds $\bar{n}_A = 5.4$ for minimum bias d-Au interactions at RHIC energy (using $\sigma_{pp} = 42$ mb), which leads to $\lambda^2 = 0.35 \pm 0.14 \text{ GeV}^2$. We note that the relatively large uncertainty comes entirely from the difference in p_T broadening in d-Au between positive and negative rapidity.

Our prediction for the "normal" evolution of the p_T spectrum in Au-Au interactions is shown by the triangular points in Fig.1. There is some scatter at high p_T due to the limited statistics of the number of pQCD-generated pairs. The $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ of this spectrum is approximately 6.3 GeV^2 .

The properties of the normalized p_T spectrum for the formation process follow from two separate effects: First, the fact that the process is dominated by the off-diagonal pairs introduces a modified initial p_T distribution. Next, one weights these pairs by a formation probability for J/ψ . We use the operator-product motivated cross section [13, 14] for $c\bar{c}$ forming J/ψ with emission of a final-state gluon, which of course is just the inverse of the dissociation process. However, any cross section which has the same general properties as this one gives essentially the same result [10]. We show by the square points in Fig.1 the prediction for the formed J/ψ . One sees that this spectrum, characterized by $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ approximately 3.6 GeV^2 , is substantially narrower than the one with no in-medium formation.

We next considered charm quark momentum distributions which would follow if the charm quark interaction with the medium were so strong that they come into thermal equilibrium with the expanding region of deconfinement. The parameters of temperature and maximum transverse expansion rapidity are determined by a fit to this thermal behavior of the produced light hadrons. The application to charm quarks was originally motivated in Ref. [15], who showed that the low- p_T spectrum of decay leptons from charmed hadron decays would not be able to differentiate between the thermal and a purely pQCD distribution. We show here, however, that the p_T spectrum of in-medium




FIGURE 1. Comparison of in-medium J/ψ transverse momentum spectra predictions from various scenarios.

formed J/ψ is very sensitive to this distribution [16]. The circles in Fig.1 result from formation calculations using $T = 170$ MeV and $y_{Tmax} = 0.5$ for the thermal charm quarks. One sees that this p_T spectrum is narrower yet than in-medium formation from pQCD quarks, with $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ approximately 1.3 GeV^2 . Finally, we show by the stars the p_T spectrum of J/ψ which themselves obey this thermal distribution. The resulting spectrum falls between the in-medium formation spectra for either pQCD or thermal charm quark momentum distributions, with $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ approximately 3.0 GeV^2 .

We now proceed to investigate the variation of the pQCD-based results with respect to the collision centrality in Au-Au interactions. First, we use the value of λ^2 extracted from pp and pA data, together with values of $\bar{n}_A$ calculated as a function of collision centrality, to recalculate the $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ values for either the initial production or the in-medium formation separately. This provides the centrality behavior of the J/ψ spectrum in the case that one or the other of these mechanisms is solely responsible for the total J/ψ population. We show these results together in Fig. 2. One sees as expected that $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ is maximum for the most central collisions, but the absolute magnitudes are widely separated for initial production and in-medium formation at each centrality. One should note that the uncertainties are dominated by the difference between the p_T -broadening measurements at positive and negative rapidities in the d-Au interactions. Thus the point-to-point uncertainties are much smaller for the centrality behavior. We have also included separate values for $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ in the region limited by $p_T < 5 \text{ GeV}$, to facilitate comparison with experiment in this same range.




FIGURE 2. Centrality dependence of $J/\psi \langle p_T^2 \rangle$ contrasting predictions assuming either 100 % production from initial $c\bar{c}$ pairs or 100 % in-medium formation.

In order to provide a meaningful prediction for the overall J/ψ spectrum, one should of course include both initial production and in-medium formation together as sources. This requires some estimate of the relative magnitudes of these processes, and is subject to considerable model uncertainties. What we can say, however, is that in-medium formation will be most dominant for central collisions, where the quadratic dependence on $N_{c\bar{c}}$ is enhanced. Conversely, one expects that initial production will increase in relative importance for very peripheral collisions. To get an approximate idea of how this effect will appear, we revert to our original model calculations which included the absolute magnitude results [7]. The relevant parameter is the number of initial pairs, $N_{c\bar{c}}$, parameterized by its value at zero impact parameter. These results are shown in Fig. 3 for two representative values of $N_{c\bar{c}} = 10$ and 20. The anticipated dominance of each at opposite ends of the centrality scale is seen to be realized. In addition, since the centrality dependence of each separate contribution is in the same direction, the dependence of the total $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ is somewhat more flat than either of them separately.

Finally, we include a graphical representation of the evolution of $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ as a function of system size in Figure 4. For simplicity of presentation, we revert back to the minimum bias case. In the absence of in-medium formation, the behavior of $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ is monotonically increasing with system size. Including in-medium formation as a significant component for minimum bias collisions leads to a reduced $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ in Au-Au interactions. The numerical results indicate that this reduction is such that the predicted Au-Au value is even below that measured in d-Au, i.e. a non-monotonic behavior. Hence we claim that




FIGURE 3. Centrality dependence of $J/\psi\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ using a model calculation to estimate the relative contributions of initial production and in-medium formation.

observation of such a non-monotonic behavior can be taken as a signal of in-medium formation, while the absolute value of such a behavior will be correlated with the specific charm quark distribution in the medium.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-04ER41318.

REFERENCES

1. T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
2. P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **490**, 196 (2000) [arXiv:nucl-th/0007059].
3. R. L. Thews, M. Schroedter and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 054905 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0007323].
4. R. Gavai, D. Kharzeev, H. Satz, G. A. Schuler, K. Sridhar and R. Vogt, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**, 3043 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9502270].
5. S. S. Adler *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], arXiv:nucl-ex/0409028.
6. J. Adams *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], arXiv:nucl-ex/0407006.
7. R. L. Thews, Nucl. Phys. A **702**, 341 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0111015].




FIGURE 4. Comparison of initially-produced $J/\psi \langle p_T^2 \rangle$ with in-medium formation predictions for minimum bias collisions.

8. S. S. Adler *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **69**, 014901 (2004) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0305030].
9. R. L. Thews, J. Phys. G **30**, S369 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0305316].
10. R. L. Thews and M. L. Mangano, arXiv:nucl-th/0505055.
11. S. S. Adler *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], arXiv:nucl-ex/0507032.
12. R. G. de Cassagnac [PHENIX Collaboration], J. Phys. G **30**, S1341 (2004) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0403030].
13. M. E. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. B **156**, 365 (1979).
14. G. Bhanot and M. E. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. B **156**, 391 (1979).
15. S. Batsouli, S. Kelly, M. Gyulassy and J. L. Nagle, Phys. Lett. B **557**, 26 (2003) [arXiv:nucl-th/0212068].
16. For the corresponding calculation in a coalescence model, see V. Greco, C. M. Ko and R. Rapp, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 202 (2004) [arXiv:nucl-th/0312100].